



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/420,772         | 10/19/1999        | OSAMU YAMADA         | 862.3073            | 862.3073 3279    |  |  |
| 5514               | 7590 05/18/2006   |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |  |
| FITZPATRIC         | CK CELLA HARPER & | LE, BR               | LE, BRIAN Q         |                  |  |  |
| 30 ROCKEFE         | LLER PLAZA        |                      |                     |                  |  |  |
| NEW YORK, NY 10112 |                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
|                    |                   |                      | 2624                |                  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/420,772      | YAMADA ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |  |
| Brian Q. Le     | 2624          |  |  |

| <del>-</del>   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | Brian Q. Le  | 2624   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add  | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04/27/2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI  | CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL  | OWANCE.  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c  | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C             | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | e of the final rejection.  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejecti                              | on.  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date  |  | 36(a) and the appropria                                  | te extension fee                           |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origi<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                                    |  |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed   | within the time period set forth in 3  | 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |  | ecause                                     |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | •  | ducing or simplifying                                    | the issues for                             |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |  | ected claims.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  | • ••   | mpliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   |  |  | <b>(</b>                                   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  | timely filed amendme                                     | ent canceling the                          |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4, 7, 12-16 and 19-22.   |  | ll be entered and an e                                   | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(           | ils to provide a<br>1).                    |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attact                                  | ned.                                       |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | ut does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowa                                   | nce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N  | lo(s).   |  |
| 13.  | JINGGE WU<br>PRIMARY EXAMINER  |  |  |
|  | 110. 91/   |  |  |

Application No. 09/420,772

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitations of independent claims 1, 16, 19, 21, and 22 with regarding to "...second conversion line intersects the first conversion line...", "... minimum output value to the intersection of the first and second conversion lines..." and "...intersection of the first and second conversion lines to the maximum output value..." require further searches and considerations.